

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/588,024             | GOLDSCHMIDT ET AL.  |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | MICHAEL ABOAGYE        | 1733                |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHAEL ABOAGYE

(2) YE REN

**Date of Interview:** 4 February 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
NONE

Claims discussed:  
11

Prior art documents discussed:  
NONE

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
Claim 11 was discussed and Applicant agreed on minor revisions in claim 11 as reflected in the Examiner's Amendment.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael Aboagye/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1733

**Status of Application:** EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:20 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)